



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	EXAMINER
--------------------------	--------------------------	----------

<input type="checkbox"/>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
--------------------------	----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

25

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Office Action Summary

Application No <b>08/832,443</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Wolpe And Tsyrlova</b>
Examiner <b>Mary B. Tung</b>	Group A1 Unit <b>1644</b>

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/7 and 12/13/2000

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133) Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)

### Disposition of Claim

Claim(s) 47-52 and 91-99 is/are pending in the application

Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to

Claims 47-52 and 91-99 are subject to restriction or election requirement

### Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner

The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is approved disapproved

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some\* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

### Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
- Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- Interview Summary, PTO-413
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review PTO-948
- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

*Fax coverage*

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

***DETAILED ACTION***

***Election/Restriction***

**Please Note:** In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot Program. If you have any questions or suggestions, please contact Paula Hutzell, Supervisory Patent Examiner at paula.hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

1. Upon further consideration, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
2. To elect a **specific SEQ ID NO:**
  - a. SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 2,
  - b. SEQ ID NO: 3,
  - c. SEQ ID NOS: 4-9,
  - d. SEQ ID NO: 10,
  - e. SEQ ID NOS: 11-13 and 28 or
  - f. SEQ ID NO: 34, as recited in claims 47, 49 and 91-99.
3. Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a specific species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The response must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
4. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the species are not patentable distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. The following claim(s) are generic: no claim is generic.
7. The species are distinct each from the other for the following reasons:
8. The recited amino acid sequences are proteins which have different biochemical characteristics, structure and functions
9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

***Conclusion***

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). THE CM1 FAX CENTER TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.
12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Tung whose telephone number is (703)308-9344. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm, and on alternating Mondays. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1640 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

March 15, 2001  
Mary B. Tung, Ph.D.  
Patent Examiner  
Group 1640

*Mary B. Tung*  
MARY BETH TUNG, PH.D.  
PATENT EXAMINER